Not all bacteriophage lyse their host bacteria upon infection. *Temperate* phage reside in the host genome and do not kill the host, whereas *lytic* phage cause lysis of their hosts when they infect bacteria. The bacteriophage λ can choose between these two “lifestyles.” The molecular basis for this decision is one of the best understood genetic switches that has been studied, and it provides a fundamental paradigm for such molecular switches in developmental biology.

This chapter reviews some of the historical observations on lysogeny in bacteriophage λ, covers the major events in lysis and lysogeny, and discusses the principal regulatory proteins and their competition for overlapping *cis*-regulatory sites. We will examine one of the common DNA binding domains in regulatory proteins – the helix-turn-helix, which was first identified in the λ Cro protein. Also, the use of hybrid genes to dissect complex regulatory schemes was pioneered in studies of bacteriophage λ, and that approach will be discussed in this chapter.

### A. Lysis versus lysogeny

1. **Lytic pathway:**
   - Leads to many progeny virus particles and lysis of the infected cell.
   - Have extensive replication of λ DNA, formation of the viral coat (head and tail proteins) with packaging of the λ DNA into phage particles, cell lysis, and release of many progeny phage.

2. **Lysogenic pathway:**
   - The infecting phage DNA integrates into the host genome and is carried passively by the host.
   - Have repression of λ lytic functions, integration of λ DNA into the host chromosome (at the *att* site). The bacterial cell carrying the integrated prophage is called a lysogen; the λ DNA is replicated passively along with the *E. coli* genome. The host cell is not killed, and is immune to further infection by λ phage.

3. **Early Observations on Lysogeny**

   Lysogeny is the hereditary ability of a bacterium to produce phage. Bacteriophage that can bring about the lysogenic state in bacteria are called *temperate* phage; those that only lyse cells are called *virulent*.

   Studies on lysogeny started in the 1920's and continued through the 1940's, particularly from the laboratories of Eugene and Elizabeth Wollman and Andre Lwoff, examining a lysogenic strain of *Bacillus megaterium*. This system was particularly amenable to studies of lysogeny because an *indicator strain* was available, i.e. a related, nonlysogenic strain that is sensitive to the phage produced by the lysogenic strain upon induction, and because the cells of *B. megaterium* are very large and could be isolated as single cells by micromanipulation.
Examination of single cells, and other studies, showed that:

1. All cells of a lysogenic culture are lysogens.
2. The lysogenic character persists after repeated passage of a culture through an antiserum specific for the phage, i.e. no free phage are required to maintain the lysogenic state.
3. Lysogenic bacteria can adsorb the phage they produce, but they are not infected - they are immune to the phage.
4. After the phage infect a sensitive host, one can isolate bacteria resistant to the phage which can now produce phage identical to the original (i.e. infection of a sensitive host leads to the formation of new lysogens).

**Figure 4.3.1.**
Temperate phage can either lyse host cells or generate lysogens

The specific hereditary structure within lysogens needed for the production of phage was called a prophage.

In contrast to the random spontaneous lysis of a small fraction of lysogens (e.g. about 1/1000), Lwoff discovered by irradiation with UV would induce lysis of virtually all bacteria in a culture of lysogens.
Three basic phenomena were discovered:

- **Lysogeny**: hereditary ability to produce phage
- **Induction**: stimulation of lysis of a whole population of lysogens
- **Immunity** (or resistance): lysogens are resistant to superinfection with the phage produced by the lysogen.

**Figure 4.3.2**

Induction and immunity of lysogens

Lysogeny in *E. coli*: **zygotic induction** (about 1951)

Joshua and Esther Lederberg, studying conjugation, worked with *E. coli* strain K12 for many years without realizing that it was a λ lysogen. They had no indicator strain to reveal the presence of λ as a prophage. In describing these experiments, I will refer to the original strain as K12(λ) to denote its lysogenic state, even though it was not recognized as such until after these experiments.

Some UV-generated mutants of K12(λ) showed an unusual behavior referred to as zygotic induction. Although these mutants would grow normally in culture, when used as recipients in conjugation experiments with male (Hfr) strains of wild-type K12(λ) as the donor, the cells would lyse!
E. Lederberg called the phage released by induction of *E. coli* K12(λ) lambda, or λ, since it was found just after the κ factor from *Paramecium*.

Infection of the λ-sensitive strain *E. coli* C with λ produced turbid plaques. Most infected cells did lyse, but some lysogenized, generating colonies of λ-resistant cells in the midst of an otherwise clear area, i.e. turbid plaques.

Conclusions from these and other experiments:

1. The original *E. coli* K12 was a λ lysogen [i.e. K12(λ)]. It carried a λ prophage, integrated into the *E. coli* chromosome (at att λ). The prophage confers the heritable ability to produce λ, i.e. lysogenicity.

2. Lysis can be induced, either spontaneously (about 1 in 1000 lysogens) or by UV induction (essentially all lysogens). Induction requires recA⁺.

3. Lysogens are immune to further infection by the same phage. Other lambdoid phage can infect, e.g. λ lysogens can be infected by phage 434.

4. Some of the mutants of *E. coli* K12(λ) had lost the λ prophage, and hence they are not longer lysogens (Fig. 4.3.2, mutant 2). When the λ prophage is donated to these nonlysogenic recipients by conjugation, zygotic induction occurs. That is, the λ prophage in the Hfr strain is induced when it enters the nonlysogenic strain. This indicates that some negative factor is present in the lysogen that is absent in the nonlysogen that prevents induction. (Alternatively, the converse is possible - a
positive factor present in the nonlysogen. But as we will see later, the negative factor, or λ repressor, is present in the lysogen and prevents lysis).

4. Regulatory mutants of λ


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>wt required for establishment</th>
<th>wt required for maintenance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>of lysogen</td>
<td>of lysogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clI</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clII</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clIII</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Act in trans

b. virulent (or vir): lyse host cells, do not lysogenize

Act in cis, are double mutants in oR and/or oL.
B. Map of \( \lambda \).

**Figure 4.3.4.**

1. DNA from a \( \lambda \) phage particle is linear, but the ends are complementary cohesive ends (cos). Thus when the phage DNA is injected into a cell upon infection, the ends anneal to form a circle. Maps of \( \lambda \) DNA are frequently drawn from the left cos site to the right one, but the map in Fig. 4.3.4 is the linear map \( \lambda \) opened at the att site. This presentation shows the clustering of functions on the genome. The map is not to scale.

2. Genetic functions are clustered in \( \lambda \), including both trans-acting proteins and cis-acting sites

   a. Control region

   (1) Control at \( P_R, P_L \) and \( P_{RM} \):
   - \( cI \) encodes the repressor that turns off lytic functions.
   - \( cro \) encodes the "antirepressor" that turns off the repressor
   Both of these act at operators \( O_R \) and \( O_L \) that control promoters \( P_R, P_L \) and \( P_{RM} \)
   Note the proximity between the genes and the sites at which the gene product acts.

   (2) Control at \( P_{RE} \):
   - \( cII \) encodes a positive regulator of transcription at \( P_{RE} \).
   - \( cIII \) encodes a protein that is needed to stabilize the product of \( cII \).

   (3) \( N \) is an antiterminator that allows transcriptional read-through at \( t_{L1}, t_{R1} \) and \( t_{R2} \).
b. Replication

*O* and *P* encode proteins required to initiate replication. The product of *O* is analogous to DnaA, forming a complex at the origin of replication, which is within the coding region of *O*. The *P* protein brings in DnaB to the origin, to initiate replication in a mechanism similar to that at *oriC*.

c. Late control

The product of *Q* is an antiterminator that prevents termination at *t6S*, which is just downstream of the *Q* gene.

d. Recombination

(1) The product of the *int* gene is required for integration into the host chromosome, using the *att* (attachment) site that is adjacent to *int*. The products of the *xis* and *int* genes are required for excision of the prophage, again using the adjacent *att* site.

(2) The products of *red* and *gam* (gamma) are needed to convert from θ-form replication to rolling circle during the viral replication pathway.

e. Late genes

The products of several genes (A through *J*) are the protein components of the viral head and tail, needed for make phage particles.

f. Nonessential region

The *b2* region (named for a large deletion that leaves the phage still viable) is not needed. This is a substantial part of the region that is replaced when *λ* is used as a cloning vector.
C. Lytic cascade

1. Early, delayed early and late genes
   a. Early genes are expressed before DNA replication initiates.
      (1) Immediate early genes are transcribed by the host RNA polymerase, and include regulator(s) that are needed for the next set of genes to be expressed.
      (2) Delayed early genes include replication proteins, and need an immediate early regulator to be expressed. The delayed early genes make a regulator required for late gene expression.
   b. Late genes are expressed after DNA replication initiates.
      These include structural genes for the viral coat and enzymes for cell lysis.

Figure 4.3.5. Transcription and translation of immediate early genes.

Transcription by E. coli RNA polymerase initiates at strong promoters $P_R$, $P_R'$, and $P_L$, and terminates at $t$'s.

2. $N$ encodes an antiterminator
   a. Immediately after infection, the first promoters that are active are $P_L$, $P_R$ and $P_R'$. These are transcribed by $E. coli$ RNA polymerase with no need for other ($\lambda$) proteins. The sequences of these promoters are close matches to the consensus for -10 and -35 boxes.
   b. $N$ is the first gene transcribed from $P_L$, from which RNA polymerase transcribes in a leftward direction.
c. The product of \( N \), called pN or N protein, prevents RNA polymerase from stopping at the \( \rho \)-dependent terminators \( t_{L1} \) (leftward transcription from \( P_L \)) and \( t_{R1} \) and \( t_{R2} \) (rightward transcription from \( P_R \)).

Fig. 4.3.6

Antitermination by N protein leads to early gene expression

3. Cro antirepressor

a. \( cro \) is the first gene transcribed from \( P_R \), from which RNA polymerase transcribes in a rightward direction.

b. Early in the infection, the protein Cro binds to \( OR^3 \) to prevent transcription from \( P_{RM} \) (the promoter for repressor maintenance). Hence it acts against the repressor, so it is called the antirepressor, and it helps prevent lysogeny.

c. As the \([\text{Cro}]\) increases later in the infection, it also binds to the other sites in the leftward and rightward operators to turn off immediate early transcription, after the products of these genes are no longer needed.
4. Products of leftward transcription: recombination and integration
   a. Action of pN at $t_{L1}$ allows read-through transcription of $red$ and $gam$, which are required for a recombination event during replication, so they are involved in lysis.
   b. The cIII gene, which is required for lysogeny, is also transcribed as a result of the lack of termination at $t_{L1}$.
   c. The int and xis genes are also transcribed, but this read-through transcription extends past the $\rho$-dependent terminator $t_{int}$ (because of antitermination by N protein). Transcripts that extend into the $b2$ region form a secondary structure that is recognized by an RNase, which degrades the transcript from the 3' end, thereby removing int from the transcript.

5. Products of rightward transcription: replication and Q
   a. Action of pN at $t_{R1}$ allows readthrough transcription of the $O$ and $P$ genes required for replication initiation (as well as cII required for lysogeny).
   b. Action of pN at $t_{R2}$ allows further readthrough transcription of the $Q$ gene.
6. The protein pQ is also an antiterminator
   a. Acts on transcription initiating at PR' to prevent termination at t6S.
   b. Allows expression of late genes (S and R for lysis; A through J for head and tail proteins).
   c. Expression of Q commits the infected cell to the lytic pathway.

Fig. 4.3.8

Later stage of lytic cascade

High concentrations of Cro turn off PR and PL.
Abundant expression from PR'.
D. **Lysogeny**

1. **Requires repressor (product of cI gene) and operators**
   
   Repressor binds at O_L and O_R to block transcription from P_L and P_R

2. **Mutational analysis**
   
   a. **Clear plaque mutations: cI, cII, cIII trans-acting; required to make repressor.** No lysogeny in these mutants.
   
   b. **cis-acting vir mutations.** No lysogeny in these mutants.

   Sites O_R1, O_R2, O_L1 and O_L2 in the operators are altered to prevent binding of repressor in vir mutants.

3. **cII and cIII genes encode positive regulators of P_{RE} and P_{int}**
   
   a. The pattern of expression of immediate early and delayed early genes in the lysogenic pathway is quite similar to that of the lytic pathway.
   
   b. After pN allows read-through transcription past tR1 and tL1, the genes cII and cIII are expressed.

**Fig. 4.3.9 CII and CIII stimulate expression of cI to make repressor**

![Diagram of transcriptional regulation in bacteriophage lambda]

- **c.** P_{RE} is the promoter for repression establishment, and is not transcribed well by RNA polymerase alone. The -10 and -35 boxes are very poor matches to the consensus for *E. coli* promoters. The protein product of the cII gene will enhance...
the binding of RNA polymerase to \( \text{PRE} \) and hence stimulate initiation of transcription from this promoter.

d. The \( cII \) product is an unstable protein. A protease encoded by the \( hflA \) gene on the \( E. \ coli \) chromosome will degrade the \( cII \) protein. Mutations in \( hflA \) cause a high frequency of lysogeny (do you see why?), hence the acronym for its name. The \( \lambda \) protein encoded by the \( cIII \) gene will interfere with degradation of the \( cII \) protein by HflA.

e. Once transcription initiates at \( \text{PRE} \), the RNA polymerase will continue leftward and transcribe through the \( cI \) gene, thus beginning the expression of the \( \lambda \) repressor.

f. The \( cII \) protein is also an activator of transcription from \( P_{\text{int}} \), the promoter for the integrase gene. Production of integrase allows it to catalyze the integration of the \( \lambda \) genome into the \( E. \ coli \) chromosome. This occurs by site-specific recombination between the \( \text{att} \) site on \( \lambda \) and the \( \lambda \text{att} \) site on the \( E. \ coli \) chromosome.

4. Binding of repressor to operators

a. Binding to \( O_L1 \) and \( O_L2 \) blocks leftward transcription from \( P_L \), and binding to \( O_R1 \) and \( O_R2 \) blocks rightward transcription from \( P_R \).

This turns off transcription of the genes required for phage multiplication and cell lysis. Thus occupancy of the operators by repressor commits the infected cell to lysogeny.

**Fig. 4.3.10.**

**Lysogeny: Repressor turns off transcription**

[Diagram showing the transcriptional regulation in bacteriophage lambda with the repressor binding to operators and controlling transcription.]
b. Binding to $OR1$ and $OR2$ also enhances transcription from $PRM$.

$PRM$ is the promoter for repressor maintenance. It is adjacent to $PR$ and directs transcription leftward through $cI$. After lysogeny, the concentration of repressor in the cell will decrease as the cells multiply. Transcription from $PRM$ allows the [repressor] to be maintained at an adequate level to prevent transcription from $PR$ and $PL$.

**Table 4.3.1.** Gene Products and Sites Involved in the Different Pathways of $\lambda$: Lysis or Lysogeny

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lysis</th>
<th>Lysogeny</th>
<th>Both</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cro represses $cI$ Repressor</td>
<td>$cI$, $cII$, $cIII$ establish lysogeny</td>
<td>$N$ antiterminator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O, P, Red, Gam replication</td>
<td>$cI$ Repressor maintains lysogeny</td>
<td>$PL$, $PR$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q antiterminator</td>
<td>$Int$ integrates $\lambda$ DNA</td>
<td>$oL$, $oR$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S, R lysis</td>
<td>$Xis$ (with $Int$) excises $\lambda$ prophage</td>
<td>$nut$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A through J are head and tail proteins</td>
<td>$PRE$, $P_{int}$, $PRM$</td>
<td>$tL1$, $tR1$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
E. Operator structure

1. 3 binding sites
   a. $O_L1$ and $O_L2$ and $O_L3$ comprise $O_L$
   b. $O_R1$ and $O_R2$ and $O_R3$ comprise $O_R$

2. Dyad symmetry
   a. Each of the binding sites is 17 bp with an imperfect dyad centered on the 9th bp.
   b. Although the sequences are similar to each other, they are not identical, and as we will see shortly, the affinitites of repressor and Cro differ for each site.

Figure 4.3.11. $\lambda$ operators overlap with promoters

3. These operators overlap the promoters
   a. $O_R1$ and $O_R2$ overlap with the -10 and -35 boxes, respectively, of $P_R$. Binding of repressor to these sites should block access of RNA polymerase to these sites. (Note that this is the steric interference model again. Even though we saw with $lac$ that this model does not hold, the $lac$ operator is centered at +10, and polymerase can bind even when $lac$ repressor is bound. However, for $O_R$, as well as for $O_L$, the repressor and polymerase are in direct competition for the same sites.)

   b. Similarly, $O_L1$ and $O_L2$ overlap with the -10 and -35 boxes, respectively, of $P_L$. Binding of repressor to these sites should block access of RNA polymerase to these sites.

   c. $O_R3$ overlaps $P_{RM}$, so when Cro binds to this site, transcription from $P_{RM}$ is blocked.
Figure 4.3.12. Affinities of Repressor and Cro for λ operators
F. Repressor protein

1. Protein structure

   a. Functions as a dimer, each monomer of which is 236 amino acids in sequence.

      Note the symmetrical protein binding to a dyad motif in the DNA. One monomer binds to one half-site of the dyad binding site, e.g. a dimer binds to \( O_R1 \).

   b. The monomers have an N-terminal DNA binding domain (amino acids 1-92), a connector, and a C-terminal protein interaction domain (for dimerization).

   A web tutorial on lambda cro and repressor binding to DNA is at http://www.bimcore.emory.edu/home/Kins/bimcoretutorials/Mrobbin/protein-dnamod/left.html

Figure 4.3.13.
2. DNA binding domain: helix-turn-helix

   a. The structure of co-crystals between the N-terminal domain of λ repressor and the DNA binding sites has been determined by X-ray crystallography. Similar data are available for co-crystals of Cro protein and operator DNA.

   b. The N-terminal domain of λ repressor consists of an N-terminal arm and five α-helices. One α-helix (helix 3 in the structure) is in the major groove contacting several of the bases in the operator half-site. The N-terminal portion of helix 3 makes contacts with bases in the major groove.

   c. Helix 2 is perpendicular to helix 3, connected by a short turn of amino acids, hence the designation of this structural motif as helix-turn-helix (HTH). Helix 2 lies astride the phosphodiester backbone and makes specific contacts with it.

   d. The glutamine at the N-terminal of helix 3 makes two specific H-bonds with the edge of an adenine in the major groove. The next serine in the sequence can either form two H-bonds with a G at position 4 (for λ repressor) or an A at position 3 of the operator (for Cro). An amino acid needs to provide both a donor and acceptor of H-bonds to form 2 H-bonds with adenine. In contrast, a guanine can form 2 H-bonds with an amino acid, such as arginine, that provides two H-bond donors. Although interactions such as these are seen commonly for sequence-specific binding in the major groove, there is no simple code of amino acids bonding to nucleotides for this structural motif. This is well illustrated by the example of the serine just discussed. In this case, the same amino acid at an equivalent position in
the protein will interact with different nucleotides, depending on whether it is in the \( \lambda \) repressor or in Cro.

e. Networks of interactions play important roles in determining the specificity of proteins binding to DNA. The combination of interactions at the different half-sites probably contributes to the different affinities, e.g. \( \lambda \) repressor binding much more avidly to \( OR_1 \) than to \( OR_3 \).

**Figure 4.3.15**

Sequence-specific binding of \( \lambda \) Cro and Repressor to operator sites

### Cro bound to \( OR_3 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5'</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3'</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ser28 Lys32 Arg38

### 2 consensus half-sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5'</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3'</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gln33 Gln44 Ala49

### Repressor bound to \( OR_1 \)

| 5' | T | A | T | C | A | C | C | G | C | A | G | A | G | G | T | A |
|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3' | A | T | A | G | T | A | G | A | C | T | C | C | A | A | T | A |

Ser45 Asn55 Lys4

\( \wedge \)
Phosphate protected from ethylation by protein binding

\( \wedge \)
Guanine protected from methylation by protein binding

### Amino acid sequences of helix 2, turn, and helix 3 of Cro and Repressor

**Cro**

16 GlnThrLysThrAlaLysAspLeuGlyValTyrGlnSerAlaIleAsnLysAlaIleHisAlaGlyArgL1

26 Ser28 Lys32 Arg38

32

**Rep**

16 GlnGluSerValAlaAspLysMetGlyMetGlyGlnSerGlyValGlyAlaLeuPheAsnGlyIleAsnAla

33 Asn44 Gly49

44

55
3. **Protein interaction domain**

The C-terminal domain is required for dimerization between 2 monomers. The two HTH motifs in the dimer fit nicely into adjacent major groove in the DNA, i.e. the two half-sites of the λ operator.

4. **Differential affinities for operators**

   a. Repressor binds with greatest affinity to OR1, then with 10-fold less affinity for OR2. The affinity for OR3 is quite low.

   b. Repressor has similar differential affinities at OL.

5. **Cooperativity**

   a. Once a repressor dimer binds to OR1, it facilitates subsequent binding of an additional repressor dimer to OR2, so in fact this cooperativity means that both OR1 and OR2 are occupied when repressor is expressed.

   b. This prevents transcription from PR.

   c. Similar cooperativity occurs at OL1 and OL2 to turn off transcription from PL.

   d. The same C-terminal domain that is needed for dimerization is also needed for interactions between dimers to produce the cooperativity.

**Figure 4.3.16.**

![Diagram showing binding of repressor blocks transcription from pR but activates pRM](image-url)
This is a model of the interaction of two dimers interacting cooperatively at two adjacent operator sites. From http://www.rtc.riken.go.jp/jouhou/image/dna-protein/all/small_N1gfx.gif

6. **Activation of transcription at PrM**

   a. Positive control mutations of the λ repressor map to positions on helix 2, on the face away from the DNA.

   b. An aspartate, serine, and glutamate comprise an acidic surface that is required to stimulate transcription by RNA polymerase from PrM.

   c. This is most likely a direct interaction between RNA polymerase and this part of helix 2.

   d. Subsequently, several more examples of acidic sequences serving as activators of transcription have been discovered, e.g. GAL4 in yeast, VP16 in mammalian cells infected with Herpes virus.
G. Cro protein

1. Mutations in cro lead to a higher frequency of lysogeny.

Cro is needed for lytic infection. It blocks expression of the repressor and in fact competes with it for the same operators.

Fig. 4.3.17. Cro protein has a single domain and functions as a dimer.

Cro

Dimer, one domain, monomer is 66 amino acids

DNA binding; Helix-Turn-Helix motif; also dimerization

operator

oR3

2. Small protein, only 66 amino acids, that functions as a dimer.

It still has a DNA binding domain and a dimerization domain. The crystal structure shows that the Cro monomer consists of three anti-parallel β-sheets and three α-helices. The Cro dimer is stabilized by pairing between Glu54-Val55-Lys56 from each monomer in the β-sheet region. This provides two electrostatic interactions (the negatively charged Glu with the positively charged Lys) and one hydrophobic interaction.

3. DNA binding domain: helix-turn-helix

The 3-D structure of the HTH is similar to that of λ repressor, and the overall interactions are similar; i.e. helix 3 in the major groove with helix 2 above it and alongside the phosphodiester backbone.

4. Affinities for operators: opposite to those of repressor

a. Cro binds with highest affinity to O\textsubscript{R3} and to O\textsubscript{L3}. This turns off PR\textsubscript{M}, thus blocking production of λ repressor. Binding to O\textsubscript{L3} has little effect on PL.

b. At higher [Cro], it will also bind to O\textsubscript{R1} and O\textsubscript{R2}, as well as O\textsubscript{L1} and O\textsubscript{L2}, thus turning off transcription from both PR and PL. At later stages of the lytic infection, early gene expression is not needed, only late transcription from PR', with transcription reading through the t6\textsubscript{S} terminator to allow expression of S, R, and A through J.

c. The amino acid sequence in the HTH region differs in some residues from that of the repressor, and the actual contacts in the major groove differ from repressor-operator interactions in some cases. This gives Cro a different affinity for these operator sites, in fact the opposite affinities, compared to repressor.

5. Competition between repressor and Cro for the same sites will determine the decision between lysis and lysogeny.
H. Use of hybrid reporter genes to dissect regulatory schemes

1. Although the genetic analysis has resolved different regions in the operator, it was necessary to design an artificial system to test the effects of each region individually. This can be done conveniently with hybrid reporter genes.

2. Ptashne and his colleagues decided to let the promoter/operator regions of \( \lambda \) regulate expression of the \( lacZ \) gene in an \( E. coli \) strain.
   a. The activity of the enzyme encoded by the \( lacZ \) gene, \( \beta \)-galactosidase, can be measured quickly and accurately with high sensitivity. In this case, \( lacZ \) is the reporter gene.
   b. Other examples of reporter genes in widespread use are those encoding \( \beta \)-glucuronidase, chloramphenicol acetyl transferase, and luciferase.

3. The production of either repressor or Cro can be regulated by driving expression of \( cl \) or \( cro \) with the \( lac \) promoter/operator in a cell that has wild-type \( lacI \), i.e. that has the \( lac \) repressor.

Figure 4.3.18.

- Place \( \lambda \) \( cl \) gene under \( lac \) control.
- Use \( lacZ \) as a reporter.
- Control amount of \( \lambda \) repressor by [IPTG].
- See effect of \( \lambda \) repressor by \( \beta \)-galactosidase activity

a. This allows one to use IPTG to induce expression of the desired \( \lambda \) regulatory protein.

b. In eukaryotic cells, one would use an appropriate regulated promoter, e.g. a heat shock promoter, or a hormonally inducible promoter (e.g. MMTV promoter, which is activated by glucocorticoids).
4. A few illustrative results

a. Consider an *E. coli* strain carrying two plasmids. The lacZ reporter is driven by wild-type λ PR/OR, and the λ cI gene is driven by the lac promoter/operator.

(1) Increasing concentrations of the repressor (generated by increasing [IPTG]) cause a cooperative decrease in β-galactosidase activity.
(2) One concludes that λ repressor will turn off expression from PR, in a cooperative manner.

Fig. 4.2.19

\[\lambda \text{ repressor will turn off expression from } P_R \text{ & } P_L\]

![Diagram of λ repressor binding to λ PR and lacZ](image)

b. In a similar strain, except that OR1 has been mutated, one sees that a higher [repressor] is needed to turn off expression from λ PR. One concludes that OR1 has the highest affinity for the repressor, and that the remaining two sites will still show cooperativity in binding repressor. They just need a higher [λ repressor] to bind.

Fig. 4.2.20

**Mutation of OR1 decreases affinity for λ repressor**

![Diagram of λ repressor binding to λ PR and lacZ](image)
c. Consider a strain carrying the same regulator construct (\( \lambda \) cl driven by lac p,o), but the lacZ reporter gene is driven by the \( \lambda P_R/O_R \) fragment in the reverse orientation. In this case, the reporter gene is driven by \( P_{RM} \).

(1) In this case the increasing \([\lambda \) repressor\] causes an increase in \( \beta \)-galactosidase activity. Although it is not shown in this figure, as the \([\lambda \) repressor\] increases further, the amount of \( \beta \)-galactosidase now decreases.

(2) One concludes that the \( \lambda \) repressor can activate transcription from \( P_{RM} \) at low concentrations but represses at higher concentrations. By testing mutants of the individual operator sites, singly and in combination, one can show that it is occupancy of \( OR_1 \) and \( OR_2 \) that stimulates transcription from \( P_{RM} \), but occupancy of \( OR_3 \) will turn off transcription.

Fig. 4.2.21

---

5. The \( cro \) gene was placed under control of \( lac \) p,o to test the effects of Cro on these same constructs. The results showed the opposite affinities for operator sites, as discussed previously.
I. **Decision between lysis and lysogeny**

1. The initial pathways for both lysis and lysogeny are identical - expression of immediate early and delayed early genes via production of pN. All the players needed for the "committed" steps for each pathway are present.

2. The competition between repressor and Cro for sites in the leftward and rightward operators will be key determinants in the decision between the two pathways.

3. The \([\text{CII}]\) (i.e. the concentration of the product of the \(cII\) gene) will in turn determine the initial [repressor] by its stimulation of transcription from \(P_{RE}\).

4. Two environmental factors will cause an increase in [CII] and thereby favor lysogeny.
   
a. A high multiplicity of infection (MOI) will generate more CII because there are more templates producing it.
      
(1) The MOI is just the ratio between infecting phage particles and host cells. At an MOI>10, the [CII] is high enough to favor lysogeny.

(2) In a way, the phage are sensing that it is too crowded, and they are better off just being carried along with the bacterium as the prophage of the lysogen.

b. When \(E.\ coli\) is starving (poor medium), the [glucose] is low, and the [cAMP] increases.

(1) The increase in [cAMP] will repress expression of the \(hflA\), so that the [CII] will be higher and lysogeny will be favored.

(2) Again, the environment is not favorable for a lytic infection, and the phage lysogenizes the host.

5. Genetic factors:
   
E.g.: \(hflA^-\) mutations cause a high frequency of lysogeny.

J. **Induction of lysogenic prophage**

1. When SOS functions are induced (recall this pathway from the section on DNA repair), RecA converts to an activated conformation, RecA*, a co-protease.

2. Just as RecA* activates the protease activity in LexA, it also activates a protease in the \(\lambda\) repressor, which cleaves the connector region between the N and C terminal domains of repressor. See Figure 4.3.13.

3. The loss of the dimerization domain of the repressor leaves only the DNA binding domains. Their affinity for the operator sites is substantially less than that of the intact repressor, and they dissociate.

4. This leaves the operator sites empty, and transcription can begin from \(P_R\) and \(P_L\), thus starting the lytic cascade. The activity of RecA* will keep the [intact repressor] low, and the induced prophage will proceed along the pathway to lysis.
Questions on Chapter 17. Transcriptional regulation in bacteriophage lambda

17.1 (POB) Bacteriophage λ.
Bacteria that become lysogenic for bacteriophage λ are immune to subsequent λ lytic infections. Why?

17.2 λ βcro protein
1) binds preferentially to OR3.
2) turns off transcription from PRM.
3) binds to OR1 and OR2 at high concentration to turn off transcription from PR (and from PL by analogous activity at OL).

Which of the above statement(s) is (are) correct?

17.3 The λ mutants cI– and cII– produce no lysogens, so they make clear plaques. If they are coinfected into E. coli, will they produce turbid plaques, and if so which phage will be found in the resulting lysogen?

Occupancy of the λ operator by repressor and Cro (next 5 problems)

{This gives you some practice with the equations and analyses in Chapter 16, and hopefully provides some insights into the competitions of repressor and Cro as well as the effects of cooperativity. These questions are based on a discussion in Appendix One of M. Ptashne’s book A Genetic Switch: Gene Control and Phage λ}

Let's imagine a stage after infection of E. coli with λ where there are 100 molecules of Cro dimer per cell and 100 molecules of λ repressor dimer per cell. The λ phage has not yet replicated, so there is one copy of the λ genome per cell. These problems were designed and answered when the estimate of the E. coli genome was about 4.2 x 10^6 bp; you can use the value of 4.6 x10^6 if you wish. Assume that there is only one genome per cell. The volume of the cell is 1 x 10^-15 L.

Binding of the λ repressor to an operator (a specific site) or a nonspecific site is described by the following equations. Similar equations apply to binding of Cro to DNA. The following values for Ks are based on binding to an operator like oR1, to which repressor has a higher affinity than does Cro.

Let

- R = λ repressor dimer
- O = λ operator site
- D = a nonspecific binding site in the genomic DNA
- C = Cro dimer

\[ R + O \rightleftharpoons RO \]  \hspace{1cm} (eqn 1)

\[ K_{s,r} = \frac{[RO]}{[R][O]} = 10^{11} M^{-1} \]  \hspace{1cm} (eqn 2)
If the equilibrium constant for binding of the λ repressor to an operator site (call it $K_{s,r}$) is $1 \times 10^{11}$ M$^{-1}$, and the equilibrium constant for the binding of λ repressor to a nonspecific (non-operator) site on the DNA (call it $K_{ns,r}$) is $1 \times 10^5$ M$^{-1}$, what fraction of the repressor molecules are free, i.e. not bound to either the operator or any nonspecific site on DNA? For simplicity, calculate how much free repressor would be present for a λ phage that had only a single operator (not the 6, each with different affinities) that are present in wild-type λ.

Using the same values for $K_{s,r}$ and $K_{ns,r}$ and the same simplification of considering a single operator site as given in the previous problem, calculate the fraction of operator sites not bound by λ repressor. For this problem, ignore the effects of Cro (i.e. ignore the competing equilibria of Cro for O).

If Cro has a 10-fold lower affinity for this single operator site, but is also present at 100 dimers per cell, what fraction of the operator sites would be bound by Cro? Again, for simplicity, ignore the competing effects of λ repressor.

The results from the two previous problems suggest that the λ repressor would "win" in a competition with Cro for the operator, given its ability at a given concentration to fill more of the operator sites. This fits with the 10-fold higher value for $K_s$ that we are using for repressor, compared to Cro. To take another look at this, divide eqn 2 by eqn 5 and derive an expression for $[RO]/[CO]$. What do you calculate for the ratio of (repressor bound to operator) to (Cro bound to operator)?

The binding of λ repressor to the operator sites oR1 and oR2 (as well as oL1 and oL2) is cooperative, i.e. the binding of the first repressor dimer increases the affinity of a second repressor dimer for the adjacent site. This can be modeled quantitatively as follows. Given that repressor binds to a single site with $K_{s,r} = 10^{11}$ M$^{-1}$, that means that the free energy ($\Delta G$) for binding to DNA is about -15 kcal per mole (you may recall that $\Delta G = -RT \ln K$). The protein-protein interactions of the repressor dimers will add $\Delta G = -2$ kcal per mole to
the affinity of binding two repressors to adjacent sites, so the effects of cooperativity increases the apparent $K_{s,r}$ to $3 \times 10^{12} \text{M}^{-1}$. How much more repressor is needed to fill 99% of the operators for non-cooperative binding than for cooperative binding to adjacent sites? Let's consider an in vitro situation where you are adding increasing amounts of repressor protein to a short DNA fragment that has the operator site; this allows you to ignore the effects of binding to nonspecific sites. Calculate the $[R]$ at which $[RO]/[O] = 99$. Since in the case of cooperativity, the two adjacent sites will be filled almost simultaneously, consider these adjacent sites to be equivalent to a single (larger) binding site for repressor.